Additional metabolic effects of adding GH receptor antagonist to long-acting somatostatin analog in patients with active acromegaly

Aleksandra JAWIARCZYK, Marcin KAŁUŻNY, Marek BOLANOWSKI, Grażyna Bednarek-Tupikowska

Department of Endocrinology, Diabetology and Isotope Therapy, Medical University, Wrocław, Poland

Correspondence to:	Prof. Marek Bolanowski, M.D., Ph.D.
	Department of Endocrinology, Diabetology and Isotope Therapy,
	Medical University, Wrocław,
	Pasteura 4, 50-367 Wrocław, Poland
	tel. +48 71 784 27 40; fax: +48 71 327 0957
	E-MAIL: bolan@endo.am.wroc.pl

Submitted: 2008-06-03 Accepted: 2008-06-03 Published online: 2008-08-30

Key words: acromegaly; glucose; growth hormone; insulin; insulin-like growth factor-1; pegvisomant; somatostatin analogs

Neuroendocrinol Lett 2008; 29(4):571–576 PMID: 18766168 NEL290408A08 © 2008 Neuroendocrinology Letters • www.nel.edu

Abstract **OBJECTIVE:** Somatostatin analogs, dopamine agonists and GH-receptor antagonist – pegvisomant are used in medical therapy of acromegaly. Since pegvisomant has not antitumor effect, the combination of pegvisomant and somatostatin analog could be an attractive option. Aim of study was to assess the effects of pegvisomant and octreotide LAR treatment on GH and IGF-1 levels, and glucose tolerance in acromegaly, and to assess efficacy and tolerability of rapid (after 7 days) pegvisomant dose titration. MATERIAL AND METHODS: Six patients (4 men, 2 women) aged 47.5 years (median) with active acromegaly, after neurosurgery failed, resistant to maximal doses of octreotide, received daily 10–20 mg pegvisomant throughout 2 weeks. They were given octreotide LAR 30 mg monthly for at least 6 months before pegvisomant therapy. Clinical symptoms, GH, IGF-1, fasting glucose and insulin levels were measured on the 0, 8th and 15th day of pegvisomant therapy. On the 8th day pegvisomant dose was titrated based on serum IGF-1 level. **RESULTS:** IGF-1 levels reduced from 739 at the beginning to 418 ng/ml (medians) on the 15th day of treatment and normalized in one patient. These changes were associated with improvement of glucose metabolism. One diabetic patient could even stop insulin therapy. **CONCLUSIONS:** Pegvisomant is an attractive adjuvant therapy for controlling acromegaly. Pegvisomant improves insulin sensitivity as well as glucose tolerance. The GH receptor antagonist is good option for patients with active acromegaly coexistent with disturbances of glucose metabolism, especially with diabetes mellitus. Rapid pegvisomant dose increasing to efficient or maximal is well tolerated and effective.

To cite this article: Neuroendocrinol Lett 2008; 29(4): 571–576

Abbreviations: GH – Growth Hormone GHRA – Growth Hormone Receptor Antagonist HOMA – Homeostasis Model Assessment IGF-1 – Insulin-like Growth Factor-1 LAR – Long Acting Repeatable OCT – Octreotide OGTT – Oral Glucose Tolerance Test PEG – Pegvisomant QUICK – Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check SA – Somatostatin Analog

INTRODUCTION

Acromegaly is a rare, chronic disease characterized by excessive growth hormone (GH) secretion followed by elevated insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) levels. It is usually caused by a benign adenoma of pituitary gland which occurs in > 95% of the cases (Melmed 2006; Schreiber et al 2007). Typical symptoms of acromegaly are associated with chronic morbidities, such as headache and arthritis, and frequent metabolic complications including diabetes mellitus and hypertension, as well as a specific acromegalic cardiomyopathy (Holdaway et al 2004; Colao et al 2004; Serri et al 2004). Life expectancy is reduced by 10 years on average, predominantly due to cardiovascular disease (Rajasoorya et al 1994). The goal of treatment is to reverse the effects of hypersecretion of GH and to normalize production of IGF-1. Effective treatment ameliorates the symptoms and signs of disease and decreases the mortality rate (Holdaway et al 2004).

Transsphenoidal surgery is treatment of choice for most patients with acromegaly (Melmed 2006). The development of endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery which is minimal invasive has been reported to offer several advantages over the conventional technique such as less surgical morbidity, patient's quick recovery, fewer complications (Rudnik et al 2007). Currently available medical therapy includes dopamine agonists, somatostatin analogs (SA) and growth hormone receptor antagonist (GHRA) – pegvisomant (PEG), and it is possible as a primary medical therapy, presurgical procedure or when surgery fails (Paisley & Trainer 2006; Bolanowski et al 2006). SAs lower GH and IGF-1 in 45-65% patients and cause significant tumor shrinkage, particularly when given as primary medical treatment (Drake et al 2001). Although symptom relief and tumor shrinkage are obtained in many cases with SA,

Table 1. Characteristics of patients with acromegaly.

	Median	Quartile (25%/75%)
Age (years)	47.5	39.3/52.0
Height (cm)	176.5	164.5/181.0
Body weight (kg)	87.0	76.4/114.5
Body mass index(kg/m ²)	28.2	27.8/31.2

inadequate suppression of GH secretion is encountered in 40–50% of cases. Moreover, SAs are responsible for inhibition of insulin secretion and it can result in deterioration of glucose tolerance (Paisley & Trainer 2006; Jorgensen *et al* 2005). These drugs are also responsible for suppressing thyroid-stimulating hormone, glucagon and neuropeptide secretion (van der Lely & Kopchick 2006).

Clinical studies in acromegaly have shown that PEG is a highly effective and well-tolerated therapeutic option for disease control with a normalization rate of IGF-1 in up to 97% cases (Schreiber *et al* 2007). However, PEG does not have an antitumor effect in terms of reducing tumor size and secretion of GH. In this context the combination therapy with PEG and long-acting SA analogs could be the attractive option.

The aim of study was to assess the combined effects of pegvisomant and octreotide (OCT) LAR treatment on GH and IGF-1 levels, and glucose tolerance in acromegaly, and to assess efficacy and tolerability of rapid (after 7 days) pegvisomant dose titration.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Material consisted of 6 patients (4 men, 2 women) aged 37–70 years (median 47.5) with active acromegaly, after unsuccessful neurosurgery, resistant to maximal doses of octreotide therapy (characteristics in Table 1). Activity of disease was confirmed by non-suppressible to < 1 ng/ml serum GH following oral administration of 75 g of glucose and no normalization of age- and sexmatched serum IGF-1 levels. Patients received 10-20 mg of PEG (Somavert, Pfizer) daily throughout 2 weeks. On the 8th day PEG dose was titrated based on serum IGF-1 level. All patients had received a long acting OCT (Sandostatin LAR, Novartis) while PEG therapy. Clinical symptoms, GH and IGF-1 levels, and fasting glucose were measured on the 0, 8th and 15th day of PEG therapy. Concentration of HbA₁c was measured in three patients with deterioration of glucose tolerance. Safety analysis included blood count, serum alanine and aspartate transaminases activity, serum total bilirubin, creatinine, cholesterol, triglycerides, potassium and sodium concentrations, urine analysis and electrocardiogram.

OGTT was performed in all patients by measuring plasma blood glucose, GH and insulin levels in 0, 30, 60 and 120 minutes of test after 75 g oral glucose load. OGTT, hormones and other biochemical measurements, i.e. lipid profile were performed at basal time, after one week of therapy before changing of dose and after two weeks of therapy. Patients received PEG in the initial dose 10 mg s.c. The dose was changed after 7 days according to normalization of IGF-1 levels. The treatment of diabetes was changed based on measurement of blood glucose level which were performed and noticed by patients. Insulin concentration was not studied in patient No 4, because he was treated by insulin at







Figure 2. GH concentrations in group of 6 patients with acromegaly during pegvisomant added to somatostatin analog therapy.

Table 2. Effect of pegvisomant added to somatostatin analog treatment on minimal growth hormone in OGTT (minGH) and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) concentrations (ng/ml).

Patient	minGH ₀	minGH ₈	minGH ₁₅	IGF-1 ₀	IGF-1 ₈	IGF-1 ₁₅
Median	4.4	3.8	3.7	739.0	616.5	418.0
(quartile 25%/75%)	(3.5/6.9)	(2.1/6.4)	(2.1/7.2)	(623.0/799.5)	(417.8/801.8)	(237.3/653.5)

the beginning of the study due to diabetes mellitus. In this patient HbA_1c level was assessed at each visit.

Statistical analysis was performed by Microsoft Excel 2003 and Statistica for Windows 6.0. The effect of pegvisomant was analyzed by the Wilcoxon matched pair test (basal vs. 8 or 15 days of treatment). Data are reported as median, interval and interval quartile. P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

One week of PEG therapy induced reduction of IGF-1 levels in 5 patients. In the remaining patient IGF-1 level increased after one week of therapy and it was associated with increase of GH level but in the end of treatment both parameters decreased. Serum IGF-1 levels fell from a median of 739 to 418 ng/ml (p=0.0277), and normalized in one patient (Figure 1, Table 2). GH concentration also decreased during therapy and the decline was highest after one week (p=0.043; Figure 2, Table 2).

Fasting plasma glucose concentrations decreased significantly after one week of PEG treatment from median 92 to 88.5 mg/dl (p=0.046; Table 3). It returned to basal level after two weeks of treatment but the improvement of glucose metabolism was confirmed by increase of QUICK index and decrease of HOMA index. (Figures 3 and 4). Plasma insulin level was insignificantly reduced after pegvisomant therapy (7.0 vs. 5.5 µg/ml, p=0.68; Table 3). Moreover, one diabetic patient



Figure 3. HOMA index in group of 6 patients with acromegaly during pegvisomant added to somatostatin analog therapy.



Figure 4. QUICK index in group of 6 patients with acromegaly during pegvisomant added to somatostatin analog therapy.

(No 4) could even stop insulin therapy. HbA_1c level in one diabetic patient decreased after 2 weeks from 8.1% on the day 0 to 7.7% on the day 15.

Liver and kidney function tests, as well as blood count were within normal limits at baseline and did not change during therapy. Median total serum cholesterol concentrations at baseline (211.5 mg/dl) were above the recommended level < 200 mg/dl, but did not change substantially during the course of PEG treatment (Table 4). We observed decrease in triglyceride concentrations (Table 4) in five patients and increase in one (patient No 5).

Treatment was well tolerated and no patient withdrew from study. No patient reported an injection site reaction. In one patient nausea and pain in right subabdominal region occurred, but they were not accompanied by elevation of hepatic enzymes. Therapy was not interrupted and the symptoms went away after two days.

DISCUSSION

We have shown that adding PEG to SA treatment is effective in terms of normalization of IGF-1 levels in patients with uncontrolled acromegaly while only SA given. This effect has already been observed at the low doses of PEG and increasing the dose exacerbated it. Moreover, it was linked neither to increased side effects occurrence nor their appearance. Another important finding was statistically significant fall of GH secretion on the 8th day of therapy.

An additional argument for the use of PEG is its advantageous influence on the carbohydrate metabolism. It is very important because of very frequent coexistence of acromegaly with glucose intolerance or diabetes mellitus. We observed in our study the increased QUICK index what confirmed positive PEG impact on peripheral and hepatic sensitivity to insulin. Similarly, like did Colao *et al* (Colao *et al* 2006), we observed a significant decrease in glucose levels and HOMA index, which confirmed the ability of PEG to improve glucose homeostasis and reduce insulin resistance.

Table 3. Effect of pegvisomant added to somatostatin analog treatment on glucose (GLC) and insulin (INS) concentrations (mg/dl and μ g/ml, respectively).

Patient	GLC 0	GLC 8	GLC 15	INS ₀	INS ₈	INS 15
1	106	104	107	8,4	8,3	9,4
2	84	85	87	7,0	7,8	7,1
3	79	67	68	4,2	4,5	2,0
4	153	136	151	NA	5,1	3,9
5	98	92	98	8,2	12,4	9,4
6	86	81	74	4,9	4,8	2,0
Median (quartile 25%/75%)	92.0 (84.5/104.0)	88.5 (82.0/101.0)	92.5 (77.3/104.8)	7.0 (4.9/8.2)	6.45 (4.9/8.2)	5.5 (2.5/8.8)

NA - not assessed

Table 4. Effect of pegvisomant added to somatostatin analog treatment on total cholesterol (TCH) and triglycerides (TG) concentrations (mg/dl).

Patient	TCH ₀	TCH ₈	TCH 15	TG ₀	TG ₈	TG ₁₅
1	263	232	268	261	153	192
2	215	191	193	139	152	127
3	192	193	184	64	42	35
4	179	192	223	198	92	154
5	239	215	215	149	174	203
6	208	171	201	102	112	81
Median (quartile 25%/75%)	211.5 (196.0/233.0)	192.5 (191.3/209.5)	208.0 (195.0/221.0)	144.0 (111.3/185.8)	132.0 (97.0/152.8)	140.5 (92.5/182.5)

Good response to PEG in patients resistant to SA treatment results from a separate mechanism of GHRA action. At this moment, an attractive concept could be combination medical therapy using SA together with PEG, which can block GH actions in target tissues. A recent study revealed that the combination of monthly OCT LAR and weekly PEG normalized IGF-1 in more than 90% patients with active acromegaly which were not controlled with SA alone (Feenstra et al 2005). On the contrary to other options of treatment in which the success is determined by pretreatment GH level, PEG pretends to normalize IGF-1 levels irrespective of the pre-pegvisomant serum GH/IGF-1 levels. It is connected with higher doses of GHRA but it has no influence on the safety of therapy (Parkinson et al 2002). It is very important because IGF-1 level is the crucial biochemical marker of the activity of the GH-IGF-1 axis, which can be used to assess the response to PEG therapy (Holdaway et al 2004).

Additionally, GHRA might have been useful in patients with diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance in whom SA might worsen glyceamic control. This is due to the fact that human body has also widespread expression of somatostatin receptors and consequently these agents not only lower circulating GH, but also have multiple actions on gut hormones release and glucose tolerance. Normally SA inhibit the secretion of insulin and glucagon and delay gastrointestinal glucose absorption. The combined effects of these drugs on carbohydrate metabolism in patients with acromegaly is determined by effects on GH release, which improves insulin sensitivity and inhibits insulin secretion. In contrast to this PEG is not associated with deterioration in glucose tolerance. Moreover, therapy with PEG is associated with significant reductions in insulin resistance (Parkinson et al 2002). It is very important because insulin resistance is a main abnormality in metabolic syndrome that is connected with increased cardiovascular mortality. Parkinson et al compared effect of SA and PEG treatment on the insulinogenic response to a standard meal and they revealed inhibition of insulin release by SA and consequently postprandial hyperglycaemia. On the contrary, insulin release and plasma glucose levels were not altered by PEG (Parkinson et al 2002). SAs in patients with acromegaly improve glucose tolerance via control of GH-IGF-1 axis, but the therapy using these drugs is associated with deterioration in glucose tolerance (Koop et al 1994). It was confirmed by Drake et al, who showed that converted therapy from OCT to PEG is connected with improvement of glucose metabolism (Drake et al 2003). We could observe this fact independently of influence on IGF-1 concentration.

Some authors suggested that PEG has a beneficial effect compared with OCT on glucose metabolism which is independent on GH-IGF-1 axis (Koop *et al* 1994).

CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of these results, pegvisomant is an attractive adjuvant therapy for controlling the GH-IGF-1 axis in acromegaly. Pegvisomant improves insulin sensitivity as well as glucose tolerance so it can be a good option for patients with active acromegaly coexistent with disturbances of glucose metabolism, especially with diabetes mellitus. Normalization of concentration and reduction of the severity of symptoms of the disease was already at low doses of pegvisomant. Moreover, rapid pegvisomant dose increasing to efficient or maximal is well tolerated and effective.

REFERENCES

- 1 Bolanowski M, Zatońska K, Kałużny M, Zieliński G, Bednarek-Tupikowska G, Bohdanowicz-Pawlak A, et al (2006). A follow-up of 130 patients with acromegaly in a single centre. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett*, **27**: 828–832.
- 2 Colao A, Ferone D, Marzullo P, Lombardi G (2004). Systemic complications of acromegaly: epidemiology, pathogenesis and management. *Endocr Rev*, **25**: 102–152.
- 3 Colao A, Pivonello R, Auriemma RS, De Martino MC, Bidlingmaier M, Briganti F, et al (2006). Efficacy of 12-month treatment with the GH receptor antagonist pegvisomant in patients with acromegaly resistant to long-term, high-dose somatostatin analog treatment: effect on IGF-I levels, tumor mass, hypertension and glucose tolerance. *Eur J Endocrinol*, **154**: 467–477.
- 4 Drake WM, Parkinson C, Akker SA, Monson JP, Besser GM, Trainer PJ (2001). Successful treatment of resistant acromegaly with a growth hormone receptor antagonist. *Eur J Endocrinol*, **145**: 451–456.

- 5 Drake WM, Rowles SV, Roberts ME, Fode FK, Besser GM, Monson JP, et al (2003). Insulin sensitivity and glucose tolerance improve in patients with acromegaly converted from depot octreotide to pegvisomant. *Eur J Endocrinol*, **149:** 521–527.
- Feenstra J, de Herder WW, ten Have SM, van den Beld AW, Feelders RA, Janssen JA, et al (2005). Combined therapy with somatostatin analogues and weekly pegvisomant in active acromegaly. *Lancet*, **365**: 1644–1646.
- 7 Holdaway IM, Rajasoorya RC, Gamble GD (2004). Factors influencing mortality in acromegaly. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 89: 667– 674.
- 8 Jorgensen JOL, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Frystyk J, Chen JW, Kristensen L, Hagen C, et al (2005). Cotreatment of acromegaly with a somatostatin analog and a growth hormone receptor antagonist. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, **90:** 5627–5631.
- 9 Koop BL, Harris AG, Ezzat S (1994). Effect of octreotide on glucose tolerance in acromegaly. *Eur J Endocrinol*, **130**: 581–586.
- 10 Melmed S (2006). Acromegaly. N Engl J Med, **355**: 2558–2573.
- 11 Paisley AN, Trainer PJ (2006). Recent developments in the therapy of acromegaly. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*, **15**: 251–256.
- 12 Parkinson C, Drake WM, Roberts ME, Meeran K, Besser GM, Trainer PJ (2002). A comparison of the effects of pegvisomant and octreotide on glucose, insulin, gastrin, cholecystokinin, and pancreatic polypeptide responses to oral glucose and a standard mixed meal. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, **87**: 1797–1804.
- 13 Rajasoorya C, Holdaway IM, Wrightson P, Scott DJ, Ibbertson HK (1994). Determinants of clinical outcome and survival in acromegaly. *Clin. Endocrinol*, **41**: 95–102.
- 14 Rudnik A, Kos-Kudła B, Larysz D, Zawadzki T, Bazowski P (2007). Endoscopic treatment of hormonally active pituitary adenomas. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett*, **28:** 438–444.
- 15 Schreiber I, Buchfelder M, Droste M, Forssmann K, Mann K, Saller B, et al (2007). Treatment of acromegaly with the GH receptor antagonist pegvisomant in clinical practice: Safety and efficacy evaluation from German Pegvisomant Observational Study. *Eur J Endocrinol*, **156**: 75–82.
- 16 Serri O, Beauregard C, Hardy J (2004). Long-term biochemical status and disease-related morbidity in 53 postoperative patients with acromegaly. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, **89:** 658–661.
- 17 van der Lely AJ, Kopchick JJ (2006). Growth hormone receptor antagonist. *Neuroendocrinology*, **83:** 264–268.